

Places for Everyone Representation 2021

| | |
|---|---|
| Family Name | Ryan |
| Given Name | Cathy |
| Person ID | 1287435 |
| Title | Stakeholder Submission |
| Type | Web |
| Family Name | Ryan |
| Given Name | Cathy |
| Person ID | 1287435 |
| Title | JPA 31: Godley Green Garden Village |
| Type | Web |
| Soundness - Positively prepared? | Unsound |
| Soundness - Justified? | Unsound |
| Soundness - Consistent with national policy? | Unsound |
| Soundness - Effective? | Unsound |
| Compliance - Legally compliant? | No |
| Compliance - In accordance with the Duty to Cooperate? | No |
| Redacted reasons - Please give us details of why you consider the consultation point not to be legally compliant, is unsound or fails to comply with the duty to co-operate. Please be as precise as possible. | <p>Positively prepared - I do not believe that Tameside have carried out sufficient consultation with residents in the areas that will be affected.</p> <p>Justified - I do not believe that the scale of this development is warranted and is based on outdated information. I strongly oppose the buildings on green belt land and I do not believe adequate environmental effects reports have been carried out and taken into account.</p> <p>Consistent with national policy - our Prime minister has spoken out against building in green belt and yet Tameside are pushing through a development of this size on green belt land.</p> <p>Effective - the proposed houses are "aspirational" houses of an undisclosed cost. They are not social housing or even affordable housing. The impact on local roads has not been countered with a strong belief that the majority of the home purchasers will cycle and not drive!!!</p> <p>Legally compliant - I do not feel consultation had been carried out effectively to include all members of the local area.</p> |
| Redacted modification - Please set out the modification(s) you consider necessary to make this section of the plan legally compliant and sound, in respect of any legal compliance or soundness matters you have identified above. | <p>Further reports on wildlife and historic woodlands, further face to face consultations with local people who will be affected by this development - not just those who live within the boundaries of the proposal.</p> |